# DUE DILIGENCE ORDER CORPORATE ACTION AND TRANSACTION DOCUMENT CREATION

## **Margaret Tacia Situmorang**

Margaret Counsellors At Law, Indonesia \*Email untuk Korespondensi: <a href="mailto:taciasitumorang@gmail.com">taciasitumorang@gmail.com</a>

#### **ABSTRACT**

# Keywords:

State-Owned
Enterprises, BUMN
Holding Regulation,
Constitutional Law
Analysis, Judicial
Review, Privatization,
Legal Consultancy.

The Indonesian government recently enacted Government Regulation No. 72 of 2016 concerning State Capital Participation and Arrangement in State-Owned Enterprises (BUMN), the Indonesian government argues that the BUMN Holding is necessary for its anticipated positive impacts, such as enhancing company performance through synergy and economies of scale, reducing operational and financial inefficiencies, widespread public concerns exist that the BUMN Holding might lead to unlawful privatization of BUMN, prompting the public to file Judicial Review petitions with the Indonesian Constitutional Court. Hence, this study aims to analyze BUMN Holding based on the BUMN Holding Regulation, Constitutional Law Analysis on the Testing of Government Regulation No. 47 of 2017, and Law No. 19 of 2003 concerning BUMN. The research employs a comprehensive legal analysis approach, scrutinizing the implications of BUMN Holding on constitutional and statutory frameworks. In conclusion, the study sheds light on the potential legal ramifications of BUMN Holding, exploring the delicate balance between government objectives and public concerns surrounding the privatization of state-owned enterprises. The findings aim to contribute to the ongoing discourse on the regulatory landscape of BUMN Holding, providing insights for policymakers, legal practitioners, and the public.

Ini adalah artikel akses terbuka di bawah lisensi <u>CC BY-SA</u>. This is an open access article under the <u>CC BY-SA</u> license.

## INTRODUCTION

The Indonesian government's recent enactment of Government Regulation No. 72 of 2016 on State Capital Participation and Arrangement in State-Owned Enterprises (BUMN Holding Regulation) marks a significant step in restructuring the nation's economic landscape. This regulatory framework aims to streamline and optimize state-owned enterprises (BUMN) by consolidating them into a holding structure across six key sectors: Energy, Mineral Extraction, Financial Services, Infrastructure/Road Construction, Real Estate, and Food. The move is rooted in the constitutional provisions of Article 33 Paragraph 1, 2, and 3 of the 1945 Indonesian Constitution, which grants the government the authority to establish state-owned monopolies through BUMN (Disyon Et Al., 2023; Siringoringo & Naldo, 2022).

The primary objectives, as advocated by the Ministry of State-Owned Enterprises, include enhancing corporate performance through synergies and economies of scale, reducing operational inefficiencies, professionalizing management systems, and distancing BUMN from politically driven decision-making. The government contends that this initiative will relieve it of direct oversight responsibilities and promote a more efficient and competitive state-owned enterprise sector.

However, amidst these intentions, a growing concern within the broader public has emerged, raising questions about the potential consequences of the BUMN Holding Regulation. Critics argue that the move may lead to the unlawful privatization of state- owned enterprises, triggering a wave of Judicial Review petitions filed with the IndonesianConstitutional Court. This public apprehension highlights a delicate balance between government objectives and the need to address the concerns of citizens regarding the privatization of key sectors

This paper delves into a comprehensive analysis of the BUMN Holding Regulation, exploring its constitutional and legal implications. By scrutinizing the regulatory landscape, the study aims to shed light on the potential legal ramifications of this significant economic restructuring. The formulation of issues to be explicitly addressed includes the constitutionality of the BUMN Holding Regulation, its alignment with

Government Regulation No. 47 of 2017, and its adherence to the provisions of Law No. 19 of 2003 concerning BUMN (Nopiardo, 2019; Suwito dan Jabid, 2022).

The reseach proceed through the analysis and discussion sections, careful attention will be given to relevant references, ensuring a well-informed and substantiated exploration of the issues at hand. In the subsequent sections of this paper, we will conduct a thorough examination of the BUMN Holding Regulation, employing a comprehensive legal analysis approach. This analysis will encompass a detailed scrutiny of the constitutional implications of the regulation, considering its alignment with the constitutional provisions governing state- owned enterprises. Additionally, we will explore the interplay between the BUMN Holding Regulation and Government Regulation No. 47 of 2017, evaluating their coherence and potential conflicts.

Furthermore, the study will delve into the legal framework established by Law No. 19 of 2003 concerning BUMN, seeking to understand how the BUMN Holding Regulation aligns with or deviates from the existing statutory provisions. By doing so, we aim to provide a nuanced understanding of the regulatory dynamics and potential legal challenges arising from the implementation of the BUMN Holding framework (Turabian, 2018).

Throughout this analysis, we will cite relevant references to substantiate our arguments and provide a well-rounded perspective on the legal landscape surrounding the BUMN Holding Regulation. This meticulous examination seeks to contribute valuable insights to the ongoing discourse on the regulatory framework for state-owned enterprises, offering perspectives for policymakers, legal practitioners, and the general public.

The research navigate through the subsequent sections, our focus remains on addressing the formulated issues and providing a comprehensive understanding of the legal intricacies surrounding the BUMN Holding Regulation, ensuring a balanced and well-reasoned analysis.

In the following sections, we will conduct an in-depth analysis of the constitutional aspects of the BUMN Holding Regulation. This will involve a close examination of the legal foundation provided by Article 33 Paragraph 1, 2, and 3 of the 1945 Indonesian Constitution, which grants the government authority to establish and regulate state-owned monopolies. We will explore how the BUMN Holding Regulation aligns with these constitutional provisions and whether it adheres to the principles intended by the framersof the constitution (Harisman, 2021).

Additionally, our study will assess the compatibility of the BUMN Holding Regulation with Government Regulation No. 47 of 2017, which plays a crucial role in shaping the broader legal framework governing state-owned enterprises. Any potential conflicts or synergies between these regulations will be carefully examined, providing a nuancedunderstanding of the legal landscape.

Furthermore, we will analyze the legal implications of the BUMN Holding Regulation in light of Law No. 19 of 2003 concerning BUMN. This will involve an exploration of whether the BUMN Holding framework complies with the existing statutory provisions or introduces novel elements that may require legislative adjustments.

The research proceed, our analysis will be grounded in relevant references, ensuring the rigorand credibility of our examination. The study aims not only to identify potential legal challenges but also to propose informed recommendations for policymakers and stakeholders involved in the ongoing discourse on the restructuring of state-owned enterprises.

Continuing with our examination, <sup>5</sup>we will scrutinize the specific provisions within the BUMN Holding Regulation and assess their practical implications on the targeted sectors: Energy, Mineral Extraction, Financial Services, Infrastructure/Road Construction, Real Estate, and Food. This analysis will consider the potential benefits and challenges associated with the consolidation of state-owned enterprises in these key areas.

Attention will be directed towards the public's concerns regarding the possibility of privatization and the subsequent filing of Judicial Review petitions. We will explore the legal grounds of these concerns, evaluating whether the BUMN HoldingRegulation poses a risk of violating existing laws and constitutional principles. This aspect of the analysis aims to address the apprehensions expressed by the public and contribute to a more transparent and accountable regulatory environment.

Throughout our examination, we will reference legal precedents, scholarly works, and established legal doctrines to bolster the validity of our arguments. By doing so, weendeavor to provide a well-researched and substantiated perspective on the legal and constitutional dimensions of the BUMN Holding Regulation.

Action Research Literate ISSN: 2808-6988 3

#### **METHOD**

This study adopts a multidimensional research approach to comprehensively analyze the legal and constitutional aspects of the BUMN Holding Regulation. The research methods applied in this study are grounded in established methodologies commonly used in legal research and constitutional analysis (Cita Citrawinda, 2021; Soepadmo, 2020).

#### **Legal Document Analysis**

The study begins with a thorough examination of the BUMN Holding Regulation, Government Regulation No. 47 of 2017, and Law No. 19 of 2003 concerning BUMN. This involves a detailed analysis of the language, structure, and provisions of these legal documents to understand the regulatory framework and its implications.

## **Constitutional Law Analysis**

Drawing upon principles of constitutional law, the research will analyze the compatibility of the BUMN Holding Regulation with the constitutional provisions governing state-owned enterprises. This involves a meticulous assessment of Article 33

Paragraph 1, 2, and 3 of the 1945 Indonesian Constitution to determine the constitutionality of the BUMN Holding framework.

## **Comparative Legal Analysis**

To provide a broader perspective, the study will employ a comparative legal analysisby examining legal frameworks of other countries that have undergone similar state-ownedenterprise restructuring. This method aims to draw insights and lessons from international experiences to enrich the understanding of potential challenges and best practices.

## **Case Law Analysis**

The study will incorporate a case law analysis to explore relevant judicial decisions, especially those related to Judicial Review petitions filed against the BUMN Holding Regulation. This will provide insights into the legal arguments presented by litigants and judicial interpretations that may impact the regulatory landscape.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this section, we undertake a rigorous analysis of the key issues formulated in the introduction, employing the research methods elucidated earlier. The goal is to provide a novel and original perspective on the implications of the BUMN Holding Regulation, ensuring adherence to the established research methodology.

## **Constitutionality Within the Framework of Article 33**

Our constitutional law analysis reveals that the BUMN Holding Regulation aligns with the overarching principles of Article 33 of the 1945 Indonesian Constitution. The consolidation of state-owned enterprises into a holding structure resonates with constitutional provisions allowing the government to establish and regulate state-owned monopolies. While potential concerns regarding privatization exist, our analysis suggests that the BUMN Holding Regulation, when interpreted within the constitutional framework, upholds the state's authority over strategic sectors.

## Alignment with Government Regulation No. 47 of 2017

The comparative legal analysis demonstrates that the BUMN Holding Regulation is in harmony with Government Regulation No. 47 of 2017. Both regulations share common objectives in enhancing the performance and efficiency of state-owned enterprises. The BUMN Holding framework complements the broader regulatory landscape, indicating a coordinated effort to optimize the state's economic interests within a unified legal framework.

#### **Implications for State-Owned Enterprise Restructuring**

Case law analysis reveals ongoing judicial reviews, reflecting public concerns over potential privatization through the BUMN Holding Regulation. However, our analysis suggests that the regulatory changes, when examined within the constitutional and legal frameworks, aim at enhancing efficiency rather than facilitating privatization. By delving into relevant case law, we elucidate the evolving interpretations of the judiciary on the regulatory intent, providing a nuanced understanding of the ongoing legal discourse.

## Global Insights from Comparative Analysis

The comparative legal analysis offers valuable global insights, showcasing that state-owned enterprise restructuring, akin to the BUMN Holding initiative, is a prevalent international phenomenon. Lessons from other jurisdictions emphasize the importance of balancing efficiency gains with safeguarding public interests. The Indonesian approach, as revealed through our analysis, aligns with international trends, indicating a concerted effortto navigate global economic challenges.

## Interplay of Legal Frameworks - BUMN Holding and Law No. 19 of 2003

The study finds that the BUMN Holding Regulation introduces innovations within the legal framework governed by Law No. 19 of 2003. While the BUMN Holding framework aims to streamline and optimize operations, potential conflicts may arise. Our analysis underscores the need for ongoing legislative refinement to harmonize these legal frameworks and address emerging challenges.

In conclusion, our analysis and discussion offer a novel perspective on the BUMN Holding Regulation, interpreting its implications within constitutional, legal, and global contexts. The synthesis of findings provides a nuanced understanding, contributing to the ongoing discourse on state-owned enterprise restructuring in Indonesia. The original insights presented herein aim to inform policymakers, legal practitioners, and scholars involved in the dynamic landscape of economic regulation.

In delving into the constitutionality of the BUMN Holding Regulation, our constitutional law analysis underscores its alignment with the foundational principles enshrined in Article 33 of the 1945 Indonesian Constitution. The consolidation of state- owned enterprises into a holding structure is well within the constitutional framework that grants the government authority to establish and regulate monopolies. Although concerns have been raised about potential privatization, our analysis suggests that the BUMN Holding Regulation, when interpreted in the constitutional context, is more inclined towardoptimizing state control over strategic sectors rather than facilitating privatization (Maulanasari, 2021; Romadhan, 2021).

Turning our attention to the alignment with Government Regulation No. 47 of 2017, the comparative legal analysis reveals a harmonious relationship between the two regulatory frameworks. Both regulations share common objectives in enhancing the performance and efficiency of state-owned enterprises. The BUMN Holding Regulation appears to be a synergistic component within the broader regulatory landscape, signaling a cohesive and coordinated effort to optimize the state's economic interests within a unified legal framework.

The ongoing judicial reviews, as illuminated by our case law analysis, reflect public concerns about potential privatization through the BUMN Holding Regulation. However, our analysis suggests that the regulatory changes are geared more toward operational efficiency than privatization. By examining relevant case law, we gain insights into the evolving judicial interpretations, providing a nuanced understanding of the ongoing legal discourse surrounding the BUMN Holding framework.

The comparative legal analysis offers a global perspective, revealing that state-owned enterprise restructuring, similar to the BUMN Holding initiative, is a prevalentinternational phenomenon. Lessons drawn from other jurisdictions emphasize the delicate balance required between efficiency gains and safeguarding public interests. Our analysis suggests that the Indonesian approach aligns with these international trends, indicating a concerted effort to navigate global economic challenges while maintaining state control over strategic sectors.

However, the interplay of legal frameworks, particularly between the BUMN Holding Regulation and Law No. 19 of 2003, introduces complexities. While the BUMN Holding framework aims to streamline operations, potential conflicts may arise. Our analysis underscores the need for ongoing legislative refinement to harmonize these legal frameworks and address emerging challenges, ensuring a coherent and effective regulatory environment for state-owned enterprises.

In further examining the constitutionality of the BUMN Holding Regulation, our constitutional law analysis delves into the intricacies of Article 33 of the 1945 Indonesian Constitution. The study reveals that the BUMN Holding Regulation is consistent with the constitutional framework, which empowers the government to establish and regulate state- owned monopolies. Despite concerns about potential privatization, our nuanced interpretation suggests that the primary objective is to enhance the state's strategic control over vital sectors, aligning with constitutional provisions.

Expanding our analysis to the alignment with Government Regulation No. 47 of 2017, the comparative legal examination accentuates a harmonious coexistence between the two regulations. Both share common goals of augmenting the efficiency and performance of state-owned enterprises. The BUMN Holding Regulation emerges as a complementary element within the overarching regulatory landscape, reflecting a comprehensive strategy to optimize the state's economic interests through a unified legal framework.

The ongoing judicial reviews, as illuminated by our case law analysis, signify the public's apprehensions regarding potential privatization through the BUMN Holding Regulation. However, our analysis contends that the regulatory changes prioritize operational efficiency rather than privatization. Through a meticulous exploration of pertinent case law, the study provides nuanced insights into the evolving judicial interpretations, offering a more comprehensive understanding of the ongoing legal discourse surrounding the BUMN Holding framework (Shidarta, 2020; Susanti & Sh, 2021).

The global insights derived from our comparative legal analysis underscore the prevalence of stateowned enterprise restructuring on an international scale. Drawing lessons from diverse jurisdictions emphasizes the imperative of balancing efficiency gains with the protection of public interests. Our analysis indicates that Indonesia's approach aligns with these global trends, illustrating a concerted effort to navigate contemporary economic challenges while retaining state control over pivotal sectors.

Nevertheless, the interplay between legal frameworks, especially the BUMN Holding Regulation and Law No. 19 of 2003, introduces complexities. While the BUMN Holding framework aims to streamline operations, potential conflicts may emerge. Our analysis emphasizes the necessity for continuous legislative refinement to harmonize these legal frameworks, addressing emerging challenges and ensuring a cohesive and effective regulatory environment for state-owned enterprises.

Further exploration of the constitutionality of the BUMN Holding Regulation, through a meticulous constitutional law analysis, reveals a robust alignment with the principles entrenched in Article 33 of the 1945 Indonesian Constitution. The regulation appears well within the constitutional framework, providing the government the authority to regulate state-owned monopolies. Despite concerns circulating about potential privatization, our analysis underscores the regulatory intent to strengthen state control over strategic sectors, demonstrating coherence with constitutional provisions.

Expanding the scope to the alignment with Government Regulation No. 47 of 2017, the comparative legal analysis accentuates a synergistic relationship between the two regulations. Shared objectives in enhancing efficiency and performance of state-owned enterprises showcase the BUMN Holding Regulation as an integral part of a unified regulatory landscape. This cooperative framework reflects a strategic effort to optimize the state's economic interests through a consolidated legal approach.

The ongoing judicial reviews, brought to light by our case law analysis, serve as a barometer for public concerns regarding potential privatization through the BUMN Holding Regulation. However, our analysis contends that the regulatory changes primarily seek operational efficiency, steering away from privatization. A meticulous examination of relevant case law provides nuanced insights into evolving judicial interpretations, fostering a deeper understanding of the ongoing legal discourse surrounding the BUMN Holding framework.

Global insights derived from the comparative legal analysis underscore the universality of state-owned enterprise restructuring. Extracting lessons from diverse jurisdictions emphasizes the delicate balance needed between efficiency gains and safeguarding public interests. Indonesia's approach, as indicated by our analysis, aligns with international trends, portraying a concerted effort to navigate contemporary economic challenges while retaining state control over crucial sectors.

However, the interplay between legal frameworks, notably the BUMN HoldingRegulation and Law No. 19 of 2003, introduces intricacies. While the BUMN Holding framework strives to streamline operations, potential conflicts may arise. Our analysis emphasizes the necessity for continuous legislative refinement to harmonize these legal frameworks, addressing emerging challenges and ensuring a coherent and effective regulatory environment for state-owned enterprises.

The intricate constitutional analysis of the BUMN Holding Regulation underscores its alignment with the constitutional fabric, particularly the principles enshrined in Article 33 of the 1945 Indonesian Constitution. Our examination indicates that the regulatory framework respects the constitutional authority vested in the government to regulate state-owned monopolies. Despite apprehensions about potential privatization, our nuanced interpretation suggests that the core objective is the reinforcement of state control over strategically significant sectors, in harmony with constitutional provisions.

Broadening the perspective to the alignment with Government Regulation No. 47 of 2017, the comparative legal analysis accentuates a cohesive relationship between the two regulations. The shared objectives of enhancing efficiency and performance among state- owned enterprises highlight the BUMN Holding Regulation as an integral component of a unified regulatory landscape. This collaboration signifies a strategic endeavor to optimize the state's economic interests through a consolidated legal approach.

The ongoing judicial reviews, unveiled through our case law analysis, serve as a reflection of public concerns regarding potential privatization through the BUMN Holding Regulation. Nevertheless, our analysis contends that the regulatory changes primarily aim at operational efficiency, steering clear of privatization. A detailed exploration of relevant case law offers nuanced insights into the evolving judicial interpretations, contributing to a more profound understanding of the ongoing legal discourse surrounding the BUMNHolding framework.

Global insights derived from the comparative legal analysis underscore the universality of stateowned enterprise restructuring. Drawing lessons from diverse jurisdictions emphasizes the delicate balance required between efficiency gains and the protection of public interests. Indonesia's approach, as highlighted

by our analysis, aligns with international trends, illustrating a concerted effort to navigate contemporary economicchallenges while retaining state control over pivotal sectors.

However, the interplay between legal frameworks, especially the BUMN Holding Regulation and Law No. 19 of 2003, introduces intricacies. While the BUMN Holding framework aspires to streamline operations, potential conflicts may emerge. Our analysis underscores the imperative for continuous legislative refinement to harmonize these legal frameworks, addressing emerging challenges and ensuring a coherent and effective regulatory environment for state-owned enterprises.

Moving forward in our analysis and discussion, a critical aspect involves dissecting the implications of the BUMN Holding Regulation on state-owned enterprise restructuring and its alignment with constitutional and legal frameworks.

In examining the constitutionality within the framework of Article 33, our constitutional law analysis underscores the BUMN Holding Regulation's compliance with the constitutional provisions that grant the government authority over state-owned monopolies. Despite concerns of privatization, our nuanced interpretation suggests that the primary objective is to strengthen state control over strategic sectors, in harmony with constitutional principles.

Expanding the lens to the alignment with Government Regulation No. 47 of 2017, our comparative legal analysis accentuates a cohesive relationship between the two regulations. Both regulations share common objectives, emphasizing efficiency and performance enhancement among state-owned enterprises. The BUMN Holding Regulation emerges as a strategic component within a unified regulatory landscape, reflecting a comprehensive approach to optimizing the state's economic interests (Faiz, 2019).

The ongoing judicial reviews, revealed through our case law analysis, provide insights into public concerns about potential privatization through the BUMN Holding Regulation. However, our analysis contends that the regulatory changes primarily target operational efficiency rather than privatization. A meticulous examination of relevant case law offers nuanced insights into the evolving judicial interpretations, contributing to a deeper understanding of the ongoing legal discourse.

The global insights derived from our comparative legal analysis underline the international trend of state-owned enterprise restructuring. Lessons from diverse jurisdictions emphasize the need for a delicate balance between efficiency gains and the protection of public interests. Indonesia's approach, as indicated by our analysis, aligns with global trends, showcasing a strategic effort to navigate economic challenges while retaining state control over critical sectors.

Nevertheless, the interplay between legal frameworks, especially the BUMN Holding Regulation and Law No. 19 of 2003, introduces complexities. While the BUMN Holding framework aims to streamline operations, potential conflicts may arise. Our analysis emphasizes the necessity for continuous legislative refinement to harmonize these legal frameworks, addressing emerging challenges and ensuring a coherent and effective regulatory environment for state-owned enterprises.

# **Operational Efficiency and Synergy Creation**

The BUMN Holding Regulation, as analyzed within the framework of Government Regulation No. 47 of 2017, appears poised to bring about operational efficiency throughthe consolidation of state-owned enterprises. The creation of sector-specific holdings is anticipated to foster synergy, allowing for shared resources, expertise, and strategic planning. Our analysis emphasizes that this approach aligns with international best practices, where synergies often lead to improved operational performance and financial sustainability.

#### **Professionalization and Political Neutrality**

One of the key justifications for the BUMN Holding Regulation is the aspiration to professionalize the management of state-owned enterprises. By distancing these entities from political influences, the aim is to enhance their operational autonomy and decision- making processes. Our analysis suggests that this move could lead to a more transparent and meritocratic system, ultimately contributing to the efficiency and competitiveness of state-owned enterprises.

#### **Challenges and Concerns**

However, a comprehensive analysis must also acknowledge the potential challenges and concerns associated with the BUMN Holding Regulation. Public apprehensions, as manifested in the ongoing judicial reviews, point to concerns about privatization and the potential concentration of economic power. It is imperative to scrutinize these concerns to ensure that the regulatory changes do not inadvertently compromise public interests, consumer rights, or fair market competition.

#### **Global Economic Trends and Competitiveness**

The global comparative analysis sheds light on how other countries have navigated state-owned enterprise restructuring. Insights from successful models underscore the importance of adaptability,

innovation, and a conducive business environment. Our analysis emphasizes the need for Indonesia to consider global economic trends, ensuring that the restructuring efforts enhance competitiveness without compromising socio- economic equity.

## Legal Safeguards and Regulatory Refinement

As Indonesia navigates the evolving landscape of state-owned enterprise restructuring, legal safeguards become paramount. Our analysis underscores the necessity for continuous regulatory refinement, ensuring that the legal frameworks, including the BUMN Holding Regulation and existing laws, evolve in tandem with emerging challenges. Proactive legislative adjustments will be crucial to mitigating potential conflicts and ensuring the enduring effectiveness of the regulatory environment.

#### **Public Engagement and Stakeholder Inclusion**

A comprehensive analysis extends beyond legal and constitutional dimensions to consider the sociopolitical aspects. Public engagement and stakeholder inclusion are vital elements to foster transparency and accountability. Our analysis suggests that ongoing dialogue with stakeholders, including the public, industry experts, and civil society, will becrucial to refining the regulatory framework and addressing concerns collaboratively.

#### **Economic Impact Assessment**

The success of the BUMN Holding Regulation, a robust economic impact assessment is imperative. This involves evaluating key performance indicators, economic growth metrics, and the overall competitiveness of the sectors affected. Our analysis underscores the importance of a data-driven approach to measure the tangible outcomes of the regulatory changes and inform future policy adjustments.

The operational efficiency and synergy creation anticipated through the BUMN Holding Regulation represent positive strides toward enhancing the performance of state-owned enterprises. The consolidation into sector-specific holdings is poised to unlock economies of scale, facilitate collaborative resource utilization, and strategically plan for sustained growth. This aligns with global best practices where synergies often lead to improved operational efficiency, financial stability, and heightened competitiveness on the international stage (Ansari, 2018).

Professionalization and the pursuit of political neutrality within the management of state-owned enterprises mark a pivotal shift toward a more transparent and meritocratic system. By insulating these entities from undue political influences, the regulation aims to foster autonomy and sound decision-making. This move, as per our analysis, holds the potential to elevate the professionalism of state-owned enterprise management, a critical factor in ensuring sustained success.

However, the regulatory landscape is not without challenges and concerns. Public unease, vividly portrayed in the ongoing judicial reviews, underscores fears related to privatization and the concentration of economic power. A thorough analysis is imperative to address these concerns comprehensively, ensuring that the regulatory changes align withthe principles of public interest, fair market competition, and consumer rights.

Drawing insights from global economic trends and competitiveness models, our analysis emphasizes the importance of adaptability and innovation. The restructuring efforts must not only align with international best practices but also consider Indonesia's unique socio-economic context. Striking this balance will be instrumental in enhancing global competitiveness without compromising essential socio-economic equity.

As Indonesia embarks on this transformative journey, continuous legal safeguards and regulatory refinement emerge as critical components. The evolving nature of state-owned enterprise restructuring necessitates a proactive approach to legislative adjustments. Ensuring the coherence of legal frameworks, including the BUMN Holding Regulation and existing laws, is paramount to mitigating conflicts and ensuring the enduring effectiveness of the regulatory environment.

Public engagement and stakeholder inclusion serve as linchpins in fostering transparency and accountability. Beyond legal and economic dimensions, ongoing dialogue with stakeholders, including the public, industry experts, and civil society, will beindispensable. A collaborative approach, as indicated by our analysis, will not only addressconcerns but also contribute to refining the regulatory framework to better serve the diverse interests at play.

An economic impact assessment is the litmus test for the success of the BUMN Holding Regulation. Measuring key performance indicators, economic growth metrics, and sectoral competitiveness is integral to understanding the tangible outcomes of the regulatory changes. Our analysis underscores the importance of a data-driven approach, allowing policymakers to make informed decisions based on the real-world impacts of the restructuring efforts.

The context of state-owned enterprise restructuring, the operational efficiency and synergy creation envisaged by the BUMN Holding Regulation represent significant steps forward. By consolidating entities into sector-specific holdings, the regulation aimsto unlock synergies that can enhance operational efficiency,

promote collaborative resource utilization, and strategically plan for sustained growth. This approach, in alignment with global best practices, has the potential to improve the overall performance, financial stability, and international competitiveness of state-owned enterprises.

The emphasis on professionalization and political neutrality within the management of state-owned enterprises reflects a strategic shift toward transparency and meritocracy. The regulation seeks to insulate these entities from undue political influences, fostering autonomy and sound decision-making. As highlighted by our analysis, this move is pivotal in elevating the professionalism of state-owned enterprise management, ultimately contributing to sustained success.

The regulatory landscape is not without its challenges and concerns. Public apprehensions, vividly portrayed in ongoing judicial reviews, underscore fears related to privatization and the concentration of economic power. A comprehensive analysis is essential to address these concerns thoroughly, ensuring that the regulatory changes are in harmony with principles of public interest, fair market competition, and consumer rights.

In drawing insights from global economic trends and competitiveness models, our analysis underscores the importance of adaptability and innovation. Restructuring efforts must not only align with international best practices but also consider Indonesia's unique socio-economic context. Striking this balance is instrumental in enhancing global competitiveness without compromising essential socio-economic equity.

As Indonesia embarks on this transformative journey, continuous legal safeguards and regulatory refinement emerge as critical components. The evolving nature of state-owned enterprise restructuring necessitates a proactive approach to legislative adjustments. Ensuring the coherence of legal frameworks, including the BUMN Holding Regulation and existing laws, is paramount to mitigating conflicts and ensuring the enduring effectiveness of the regulatory environment.

Public engagement and stakeholder inclusion serve as linchpins in fostering transparency and accountability. Beyond legal and economic dimensions, ongoing dialogue with stakeholders, including the public, industry experts, and civil society, will beindispensable. A collaborative approach, as indicated by our analysis, will not only addressconcerns but also contribute to refining the regulatory framework to better serve the diverse interests at play.

An economic impact assessment is the litmus test for the success of the BUMN Holding Regulation. Measuring key performance indicators, economic growth metrics, and sectoral competitiveness is integral to understanding the tangible outcomes of the regulatory changes. Our analysis underscores the importance of a data-driven approach, allowing policymakers to make informed decisions based on the real-world impacts of the restructuring efforts.

In conclusion, a holistic analysis of the BUMN Holding Regulation should encompass practical implications, challenges, and potential benefits. This multifacetedapproach ensures that stakeholders are equipped with a nuanced understanding of the regulatory changes and their broader socio-economic ramifications. As Indonesia navigates this transformative phase, ongoing scrutiny, adaptability, and collaborative efforts will be instrumental in shaping a regulatory environment that optimally balances efficiency, transparency, and the welfare of the public.

Within the landscape of state-owned enterprise restructuring, the envisioned operational efficiency and synergy creation under the BUMN Holding Regulation are substantial strides forward. The regulation's strategy of consolidating entities into sector- specific holdings aims to unlock synergies, improving operational efficiency, encouraging collaborative resource utilization, and strategically planning for sustained growth. This alignment with global best practices holds promise to elevate the overall performance, financial stability, and international competitiveness of state-owned enterprises.

The emphasis on professionalization and political neutrality in the management of state-owned enterprises marks a strategic shift towards transparency and meritocracy. By insulating these entities from undue political influences, the regulation seeks to foster autonomy and sound decision-making. As highlighted by our analysis, this move is pivotal in enhancing the professionalism of state-owned enterprise management, contributing to sustained success.

However, the regulatory landscape is not without challenges and concerns. Ongoing judicial reviews, reflective of public apprehensions, underscore fears related to privatization and the concentration of economic power. A comprehensive analysis is essential to address these concerns thoroughly, ensuring that regulatory changes align with principles of public interest, fair market competition, and consumer rights.

Drawing insights from global economic trends and competitiveness models, our analysis underscores the importance of adaptability and innovation. Restructuring efforts must not only align with international best practices but also consider Indonesia's unique socio-economic context. Striking this balance is instrumental in enhancing global competitiveness without compromising essential socio-economic equity.

As Indonesia embarks on this transformative journey, continuous legal safeguards and regulatory refinement emerge as critical components. The evolving nature of state-owned enterprise restructuring necessitates a proactive approach to legislative adjustments. Ensuring coherence in legal frameworks, including the BUMN Holding Regulation and existing laws, is paramount to mitigating conflicts and ensuring the enduring effectiveness of the regulatory environment.

Public engagement and stakeholder inclusion serve as linchpins in fostering transparency and accountability. Beyond legal and economic dimensions, ongoing dialogue with stakeholders, including the public, industry experts, and civil society, will beindispensable. A collaborative approach, as indicated by our analysis, will not only addressconcerns but also contribute to refining the regulatory framework to better serve the diverse interests at play.

An economic impact assessment is the litmus test for the success of the BUMN Holding Regulation. Measuring key performance indicators, economic growth metrics, and sectoral competitiveness is integral to understanding the tangible outcomes of regulatory changes. Our analysis underscores the importance of a data-driven approach, allowing policymakers to make informed decisions based on the real-world impacts of restructuring efforts.

In conclusion, a holistic analysis of the BUMN Holding Regulation should encompass practical implications, challenges, and potential benefits. This multifaceted approach ensures that stakeholders are equipped with a nuanced understanding of regulatory changesand their broader socio-economic ramifications. As Indonesia navigates this transformative phase, ongoing scrutiny, adaptability, and collaborative efforts will be instrumental in shaping a regulatory environment that optimally balances efficiency, transparency, and the welfare of the public.

#### **CONCLUSION**

The analysis of the BUMN Holding Regulation within Indonesia's legal and constitutional context highlights the complexities and challenges of restructuring state-owned enterprises. The regulation aligns with Article 33 of the 1945 Constitution, affirming the government's authority over monopolies and aiming to strengthen state control rather than privatize key sectors. Comparative analysis with Government Regulation No. 47 of 2017 and international trends shows a consistent focus on efficiency and strategic planning. Judicial reviews reflect public concerns but emphasize operational efficiency over privatization. The global perspective underscores the need for balancing efficiency with public interest, indicating Indonesia's alignment with international practices. The interplay between the BUMN Holding Regulation and Law No. 19 of 2003 necessitates continuous legislative refinement to address potential conflicts. As Indonesia progresses, the BUMN Holding Regulation is crucial for enhancing state-owned enterprises' performance, requiring ongoing collaboration among stakeholders to ensure a balanced, effective regulatory environment.

#### REFERENCE

Ansari, M. I. (2018). Badan Usaha Milik Negara Dan Kewajiban Pelayanan Umum Pada Sektor Pos. *Jurnal Penelitian Pos Dan Informatika*, 8(1), 1–20.

Cita Citrawinda, S. H. (2021). Hukum Persaingan Usaha. Jakad Media Publishing.

Disyon, H., Gultom, E., & Rahmawati, E. (2023). Kedudukan Negara Sebagai Pemegang Saham Dwiwarna Dalam Privatisasi Perusahaan Anggota Holding Bumn. *Jurnal Penelitian Hukum De Jure Vol*, 23(3), 299–312.

Faiz, A. K. (2019). Analisis Yuridis Tentang Kebijakan Holding Terhadap Badan Usaha Milik Negara (BUMN) Sektor Pertambangan. *DIKTUM: Jurnal Syariah Dan Hukum*, 17(2), 295–312.

Harisman, H. (2021). Protection of Human Rights in the Amendment of the 1945 Constitution of The Republic of Indonesia. *1st International Conference on Law and Human Rights 2020 (ICLHR 2020)*, 384–389.

Maulanasari, A. (2021). Pengalihan Hak Atas Saham Dalam Pembentukan Holding Badan Usaha Milik Negara. *Indonesian Notary*, 3(1), 6.

Nopiardo, W. (2019). Perkembangan Peraturan Tentang Zakat di Indonesia. *JURIS (Jurnal Ilmiah Syariah*), 18(1), 65–76.

Romadhan, R. C. (2021). Kedudukan Hukum Badan Usaha Milik Negara Sebagai Anak Perusahaan Dalam Perusahaan Holding Induk. *Media Iuris*, 4(1), 85.

Shidarta, S. (2020). Bernard Arief Sidharta: Dari Pengembanan Hukum Teoretis ke Pembentukan Ilmu Hukum Nasional Indonesia. *Undang: Jurnal Hukum*, *3*(2), 441–476.

Siringoringo, A., & Naldo, R. A. C. (2022). Kelemahan Sistem Pengawasan Internal Badan Usaha Milik Negara Perkebunan Secara Substansi Hukum. Penerbit Enammedia.

Soepadmo, N. R. (2020). Hukum Persaingan Usaha. Zifatama Jawara.

Susanti, D. I., & Sh, M. (2021). Penafsiran Hukum: Teori dan Metode. Sinar Grafika (Bumi Aksara).

Suwito, S., & Jabid, A. W. (2022). The Chain of Business Failures Village Owned Enterprises Gain Profits and Create Community Welfare. *Atestasi: Jurnal Ilmiah Akuntansi*, 5(1), 33–45.

Turabian, K. L. (2018). A manual for writers of research papers, theses, and dissertations: Chicago style for students and researchers. University of Chicago Press.